<u>EXHIBIT C</u>

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No.:

09/736,354

Applicant:

Leonard Sadjadi

Filed:

Dec. 14, 2000

TC/AU:

2636

Examiner:

La, Anh V.

Docket No.: LSUI-27,721US

Cust. No.:

31,782

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being sent via facsimile to the Commissioner for Patents at (571) 273-8300 Aug. 10, 2005

Name of Faxer: Mark W. Handley

Mail Stop Petitions Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

DECLARATION OF LEONARD SADJADI

- 1. I am the sole officer of L.S. Unico, Inc. ("UNICO"), Assignee of a 50% interest of record in the above-identified application, having the title "Director."
- 2. On December 13, 2000, as the sole inventor, I assigned a 50% interest each to UNICO and Micrin Technologies Corporation ("MICRIN") for the invention of the above-identified application, at the suggestion of MICRIN's attorneys.
- 3. I received a letter from MICRIN, dated September 22, 2003, notifying me that MICRIN is no longer interested in pursuing the above-identified application and will assign all rights to the above-identified patent application. The letter dated September 22, 2003 is being attached as an exhibit to the Petition To Revive being submitted with my Declaration.
- 4. In October 2003, my attorney forwarded a letter dated October 2, 2003, from one of MICRIN's attorney, Roger Albright, who to my knowledge is not associated with the law firm representing MICRIN in the above-referenced application. The letter stated that MICRIN does not wish to have any further involvement in the product or patent process, and would only assign

EXHIBIT C

rights in the above-identified patent application based on certain terms and conditions. The letter dated October 2, 2003 is being attached as an exhibit to the Petition to Revive.

- 5. On October 14, 2003, I signed a Revocation And Appointment of Power of Attorney as Director of UNICO, revoking all previous power of attorneys in the application. The Revocation and New Power of Attorney was attached to MICRIN's Petition Under 37 C.F.R. 1.313 dated July 12, 2005 in the above-identified application and is of record in the above-identified application.
- 6. On March 16, 2005, an attorney I retained filed suit on my behalf and on behalf of UNICO, Civil Action No. CC-05-03170-A in the County Court At Law of Dallas County, Texas against MICRIN for matters relating to the invention of the above-identified patent application.
- 7. On June 15, 2005, MICRIN's attorneys, of the same law firm which filed the Petitions Under 37 C.F.R. 1.313 of June 12, 2005 and July 18, 2005, filed an answer representing MICRIN in the State Court Litigation. The answer is attached as an exhibit to one of the Petitions being filed with my Declaration.
- 8. In the State Court Litigation, of Paragraphs 6 and 7 above, we are sceking to void the Assignment dated December 13, 2000, noted in paragraph 2 above, which assigned 50% of the rights in the above-identified application to MICRIN.
- 9. The State Court Litigation concerns claims relating to royalty payments and cancellation and/or enforcement of license agreements relating the use of the invention of the above-identified application, and seeks discovery of information and products from MICRIN to determine whether MICRIN is currently infringing any of the allowed claims of the above-identified application.

EXHIBIT C

10. The present application would have issued as U.S. Patent No. 6,919,810 on July 19, 2005, except for intervention in its issuance by MICRIN's attorneys, which are of the same law firm which is representing MICRIN in the State Court Litigation in defense of such claims set forth in paragraphs 8 and 9 above, regarding whether MICRIN currently infringes allowed claims which, except for said intervention, would have issued as the '810 patent.

- 11. MICRIN's attorneys had actual receipt of the Revocation And Appointment of Power of Attorney, which I signed as Director of UNICO, since the document was included as Exhibit C of the Petition Under 37 C.F.R. 1.313 filed June 12, 2005 and is of record in the above-identified application.
- 12. At no time has UNICO granted power of attorney to MICRIN's Attorneys, neither to Mr. Bradley nor to his law firm Bracewell & Giuliani, L.L.P.
- I presently intend to assign to UNICO any rights in the invention I receive through voiding the Assignment dated December 13, 2000 in the State Court Litigation.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon. Daying

Individually, and as

Director of L.S. Unico, Inc.